# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

## **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 0519-06

Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS #2 for HCS for SCS for SB 86

Subject: Children and Minors; Taxation and Revenue - General

Type: Original Date: June 1, 2007

Bill Summary: Would amend the Child in Crisis and Residential Treatment Agency tax

credit programs.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue				
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 0519-06

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS #2 for HCS for SCS for SB 86

Page 2 of 4 June 1, 2007

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
<b>Total Estimated</b>				
Net Effect on All				
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Page 3 of 4 June 1, 2007

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator**, the **Department of Economic Development**, the **Department of Natural Resources**, the **Department of Revenue**, and the **Department of Social Services** assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** (SOS) provided the following information. Many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, we also recognize that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what our office can sustain with our core budget. Therefore, we reserve the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Officials from the **Office of Administration**, **Division of Budget and Planning** assumed that proposed changes to the Residential Treatment Agency tax credit program in a similar proposal (SB 441 LR 1992-01) would have no impact on general and total state revenues.

Officials from the **Department of Insurance**, **Financial Institutions and Professional Registration** (DIFP) stated that it is unknown how many insurance companies would choose to participate in the tax credit program in and take advantage of the tax credits. DIFP officials stated they could not estimate how much would be lost in premium tax revenue as a result of tax credits.

**Oversight** had taken into account the fiscal impact to the DIFP when a fiscal note was completed for a similar proposal in the previous session (SB 614 LR 3603-03, 2006). Oversight notes that this proposal changes the types of eligible contributions to the tax credit program, assumes this proposal would not change the fiscal impact of the 2006 proposal, and will indicate no fiscal impact for the DIFP in this fiscal note.

**Oversight** assumes the proposal would have no fiscal impact to the state or to local governments.

L.R. No. 0519-06

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS #2 for HCS for SCS for SB 86

Page 4 of 4 June 1, 2007

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

## FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator

Office of the Secretary of State

Department of Economic Development

Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions, and Professional Registration

Department of Natural Resources

Department of Revenue

Department of Social Services

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

June 1, 2007